

QUANTUM MECHANICS AND THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT

L V Prokhorov

V.A.Fock Institute of Physics
Sankt-Petersburg State University, Russia

Abstract. It is shown that in the model [3,4] of quantum mechanics besides probability amplitudes, the Planck constant and the Fock space, the cosmological constant also appear in the natural way. The Poisson brackets are generalized for the case of kinetics.

PACS: 03.65.Ta 98.80.Qc

1 Introduction

The problem of cosmological constant is one of the most difficult problems of modern physics. It arises if one takes into consideration quantum field theory (QFT). Indeed, the cosmological term $\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$ in the gravitational equations can be interpreted as presence of a kind of vacuum energy density ϵ_{vac}^Λ . According to the standard QFT there is nonzero vacuum energy density ϵ_{vac} , and it looks natural to identify these two quantities. Experiment gives [1]

$$\epsilon_{vac}^\Lambda \approx 2.5 \cdot 10^{-67} \text{cm}^{-4}, \quad (1)$$

But ϵ_{vac} is infinite, and simple estimation gives $\epsilon_{vac} \sim L_0^{-4}$ where L_0 is some characteristic length. Taking $L_0 \sim 10^{-13}$ cm, one gets

$$\epsilon_{vac} \sim 10^{52} \text{cm}^{-4}, \quad (2)$$

discrepancy of 119 orders! Taking $L_0 \sim l_P = 1.6 \cdot 10^{-33}$ cm worsens the situation.

There are different approaches to the problem, e.g. (i) admit that Λ is a new fundamental constant of the theory, (ii) assume that there is a scalar field with nonzero vacuum average and negative contribution to the vacuum energy, and so on [2]. The first possibility means that there are two independent scales in physics, while the second one assumes cancellation of enormous numbers (fine tuning). Notice also that at the Planck scales the theory is presumably supersymmetric. In this case the vacuum energy is zero, and the Λ -term problem cannot be solved in the framework of high energy physics (supersymmetric QFT).

It turns out, however, that the earlier proposed model of quantum mechanics (QM) [3,4] as a phenomenon emerging at the Planck scales, gives natural explanation of the second scale. The essential feature of the model — instability of the world: for an ordered set of classical oscillators in a thermal bath QM (i.e. description by probability amplitudes) emerges in the time interval of relaxation of its non-equilibrium state. The Planck constant $\hbar$ appears automatically. In this model all probability amplitudes acquire a factor $\exp(-\alpha t)$ and decrease when time $t \rightarrow \infty$. It implies non-stability of the Universe. All the equations of motion for fields should be modified, masses increase, $m^2 \rightarrow m^2 + \alpha^2$, so all the massless particles become massive. The cosmological

term is nothing but half of the square of the graviton mass ($m_g^2 = \alpha^2 = 2\Lambda$). In particular, the model predicts the same mass for photon ($m_{ph}^2 = 2\Lambda$).

In Sec. 2 the concise presentation of the model [3,4] is given. It is noteworthy that the model elucidates the nature of classical equations of motion. For description of the non-equilibrium states evolution modified Poisson brackets are proposed. The case of an oscillator is considered in Sec. 3, and QFT — in Sec. 4. It is shown that as a result, all the massless fields acquire masses α . For the gravitational field it means appearance of $\Lambda = \alpha^2$. It allows to estimate the temperature of the heat bath ($T \gg 10^{-29}$ K). In Sec. 5 the main results of the article are summarized.

2 Quantum mechanics

Probability amplitudes describe evolution of non-equilibrium distributions of a harmonic oscillator in a thermal bath [3,4]. Let

$$G(q, p) = h^{-1} e^{-\beta H(q, p)}, \quad H = \frac{\omega}{2}(p^2 + q^2), \quad \beta = 1/kT, \quad h = 2\pi/\beta\omega, \quad (3)$$

be the Gibbs distribution (k — the Boltzmann constant). One may also use complex variables $z = (q + ip)/\sqrt{2}$, $\bar{z}$. This distribution introduces a measure μ in phase space and defines a dimensional constant h (the area unit of the phase plane) which can be identified with the Planck constant. The measure is

$$d\mu(q, p) = \frac{dq \wedge dp}{h} e^{-\beta\omega(p^2+q^2)/2} = d\mu(\bar{z}, z) = \frac{d\bar{z} \wedge dz}{ih} e^{-\bar{z}z/\hbar}, \quad H = \omega\bar{z}z, \quad \hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}. \quad (4)$$

In transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \hat{U} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{U} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

matrix $\hat{U}$ is unitary ($\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = 1$), and

$$H = \frac{\omega}{2} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\omega}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} \\ z \end{pmatrix} U^* U^+ \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\omega}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} \\ z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z} \\ z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

The Poisson bracket in complex variables reads

$$\{f, g\} = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q} \right) \equiv \frac{\partial(f, g)}{\partial(q, p)} = i \frac{\partial(f, g)}{\partial(\bar{z}, z)}, \quad (7)$$

i.e. transformation $(q, p) \rightarrow (\bar{z}, z)$ is not canonical one [5]. It is important that in case of complex variables one may use only one equation of motion

$$\dot{z} = \{z, H\} = -i\omega z. \quad (8)$$

Another equation $\dot{\bar{z}} = i\omega\bar{z}$ is obtained by complex conjugation — an essential feature of QM. Any other measure μ_p ,

$$d\mu_p = p(x)dx, \quad (x_1, x_2) = (q, p), \quad p(x) \geq 0, \quad \int d\mu_p = 1,$$

describes some non-equilibrium state [6].

Now, let's generate the non-equilibrium distributions. Consider variation of x : an arbitrary variation δx can be given by the sum

$$\delta x = \delta x_{\perp} + \delta x_{\parallel}, \quad (9)$$

where $\delta x_{\perp}$ by definition preserves the Gibbs distribution, i.e.

$$\delta H = \nabla H \delta x_{\perp} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Solutions of this equation are given by

$$\delta x_{\perp} = \hat{J} \nabla H \delta t, \quad (11)$$

$\hat{J}$ being some antisymmetric matrix, and t — some parameter. Identifying t with time and taking $\hat{J} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we observe that (11) coincides with the classical equation of motion

$$\dot{x} = \{x, H\}. \quad (12)$$

As for variations $\delta \mathbf{x}_{\parallel}$ — they, evidently, destroy equilibrium. Taking, e.g. small variations $z \rightarrow z + c$, we have $\bar{z}z \rightarrow (\bar{z} + \bar{c})(z + c)$, and

$$d\mu(\bar{z}, z) \rightarrow d\mu_f(\bar{z}, z) = |f_c(z)|^2 d\mu(\bar{z}, z), \quad f_c(z) = e^{-\bar{c}z/\hbar} e^{-\bar{c}c/2\hbar}. \quad (13)$$

Measure $\mu_f(\bar{z}, z)$ describes a non-equilibrium distribution which tends to the equilibrium one with time ($|f_c|^2 \rightarrow 1$).

There are two important points here.

1. To describe evolution of $\mu_f(\bar{z}, z)$ it is enough to take only one equation of motion (see (8)) [3,4]

$$\dot{f} = \{f, H\} = -i\omega z \frac{df}{dz}. \quad (14)$$

2. If the time of relaxation t_r is large ($\omega^{-1} \ll t_r$), in the time interval $0 < t \ll t_r$ one can use classical equation of motion (14) (as for the "vacuum energy" $\hbar\omega/2$ here — see below).

Measure (13) allows to introduce the Hilbert space of entire analytic functions $f(z)$ of order $\rho \leq 2$. Indeed, from linearity of Eq. (14) it follows that sum of its solutions $f_1(z), f_2(z)$ is also solution (the principle of superposition). Substituting into (13) $f = f_1 + f_2$ and integrating, one gets e.g. expressions like $\int d\mu \bar{f}_1 f_2$ which can be identified with the scalar product of vectors f_1, f_2 . Functions $f_c(z)$ for arbitrary small but finite c form an overcomplete basis in the Fock space [7] with the scalar product

$$(g(z), f(z)) = \int d\mu(\bar{z}, z) \overline{g(\bar{z})} f(z). \quad (15)$$

Thus, analytic functions $f(z)$ are

- (i) dynamical variables, and
- (ii) they can be identified with probability amplitudes (elements of the Hilbert space).

State vectors $Z_n(z) = \tilde{z}^n / \sqrt{n!} \equiv \langle n|z \rangle$, $\tilde{z} = z/\sqrt{\hbar}$, form an orthonormal basis in the Fock space [3,4,7]: $(Z_n, Z_m) = \delta_{nm}$,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n Z_n(z), \quad (16)$$

and

$$||f||^2 = (f, f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 = 1. \quad (17)$$

It means that $|c_n|^2$ give a discrete probability distribution, and c_n also can be considered as dynamical variables and probability amplitudes. From Eq. (14) one obtains

$$Z_n(t) = c_n e^{-i\omega n t} Z_n(0) = c_n(t) Z_n(0), \quad f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(t) Z_n(0) \quad (18)$$

(here $Z_n(t) \equiv Z_n(z(t))$). The time dependence of c_n is generated by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \omega \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \bar{c}_n c_n, \quad (19)$$

$\bar{c}_n, c_n$ being complex canonical variables with the Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\} = i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial(f, g)}{\partial(\bar{c}_n, c_n)}; \quad (20)$$

then $\dot{c}_n = \{c_n, H\} = -i\omega n c_n$. We observe that effectively this is a physical system with infinite number of degrees of freedom (as it manifests itself in the time interval $0 < t \ll t_r$ — influence of the heat bath). It is easy to prove that if the state of an oscillator is specified by a function f , then $|(g, f)|^2$ is probability for the oscillator to be in the "state g ". Normalized function $g(z)$ can be considered as an element of some orthonormal basis. Expanding $f(z)$ over elements of this basis one finds that (g, f) can be identified with a complex number c_g (an analog of c_n), and then $|c_g|^2$ is the above mentioned probability.

Operators appear in natural way. Multiplication of $\overline{g(z)}$ by $\bar{z}$ changes $\overline{g(z)}$: $\bar{z}\overline{g(z)} = \overline{g_1(z)}$, i.e. $\bar{z}$ can be considered as an operator $\hat{\bar{z}}$. The result of multiplication $\overline{g(z)}$ by z can be found from (15) (use identity $z \exp(-\bar{z}, z/\hbar) = -\hbar d \exp(-\bar{z}, z/\hbar)/d\bar{z}$ and integrate by parts): $\overline{g_2(z)} = \hat{z}\overline{g(z)} = \hbar d\overline{g(z)}/d\bar{z}$. Operators $\hat{\bar{z}}, \hat{z}$ have correct commutation relation $[\hat{z}, \hat{\bar{z}}] = \hbar$, and $[\hat{q}, \hat{p}] = [\hat{\bar{z}} + \hat{z}, i(\hat{\bar{z}} - \hat{z})]/2 = i\hbar$. The Hamiltonian also becomes an operator, and Eq. (6) gives:

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\omega}{2}(\hat{\bar{z}}\hat{z} + \hat{z}\hat{\bar{z}}) = \hbar\omega(\bar{z}\frac{d}{d\bar{z}} + \frac{1}{2}); \quad (21)$$

here $\hat{H}$ contains the missing in (14) the zero point energy $\hbar\omega/2$. It is remarkable that the classical expression (6) contains the recipe for ordering of $\hat{\bar{z}}, \hat{z}$.

Eq. (14) after multiplication by $i\hbar$ and complex conjugation differs from the Schroedinger equation

$$-i\hbar\dot{\bar{f}}(z) = \hat{H}\bar{f}(z) \quad (22)$$

only by an additive constant $\hbar\omega/2$ in energy. Thus, we have complete quantum theory of a harmonic oscillator.

In QM, stochastic by their nature wave functions satisfy the deterministic Schroedinger equation. The present model elucidates this phenomenon. Let's make another non-canonical transformation: $(\bar{z}, z) \rightarrow (\varphi, r)$, $z = r \exp(i\varphi)$. Then

$$\{f, g\} = i \frac{\partial(f, g)}{\partial(\bar{z}, z)} = i \frac{\partial(f, g)}{\partial(\varphi, r)} \frac{\partial(\varphi, r)}{\partial(\bar{z}, z)} = -\frac{1}{2r} \frac{\partial(f, g)}{\partial(\varphi, r)}.$$

Here $H = \omega r^2$, and equations of motion for φ, r read

$$\dot{\varphi} = -\omega, \quad \dot{r} = 0 \quad (23)$$

(of course, they also follow from Eq. (8)). It means that in the case of non-equilibrium distribution one canonical variable (φ) satisfies the classical equation of motion (23), while the second one does not change with time: being pure stochastic, r is characterized by the Gauss probability distribution $\exp(-r^2/\hbar)$. In the sum for energy $E_n = \hbar\omega(n + 1/2)$ the first term represents contribution of classical motion: taking in (14) $f = z^n$, one gets $\omega_n = n\omega$. The second term in the sum appears due to the thermal bath; it is the same for all states. The energy is quantized ($\hbar\omega_n = n\hbar\omega$) due to the classical motion.

The average values $\bar{A}$ of phase functions $A(\bar{z}, z)$ are defined by

$$\bar{A} \equiv \int d\mu(\bar{z}, z) \overline{f(z)} \hat{A}(\hat{\bar{z}}, \hat{z}) f(z) \equiv (f, \hat{A}f), \quad (24)$$

and it is assumed that operators $\hat{\bar{z}}, \hat{z}$ in $\hat{A}(\hat{\bar{z}}, \hat{z})$ are ordered.

Thus, in the framework of pure classical mechanics one gets description by probability amplitudes $f(z)$ (quantum mechanics) because $|f(z)|^2 \equiv p(x)$ can be identified with probability density. This is true for quasi-stationary non-equilibrium states. We have obtained all the principal ingredients of QM: the Planck constant $\hbar$, the complex valued probability amplitudes $f(z)$, the Fock space and the Schroedinger equation. Notice also that the classical equations of motion for an oscillator follow, in fact, from preservation of the Gibbs distribution.

3 Generalization of the Poisson brackets

Eq. (22) gives approximate description of a quasi-stationary state. The exact equation should describe kinetics, i.e. tending of a non-equilibrium distribution to the equilibrium one. The simplest way to do this — to introduce a kind of friction into the oscillator equation of motion

$$\ddot{q} + \omega^2 q = 0 \rightarrow \ddot{q} + 2\alpha\dot{q} + \omega^2 q = 0, \quad \alpha > 0. \quad (25)$$

Then, evidently, $t_r \sim 1/\alpha$. One can introduce friction also into the Hamiltonian equations (12) by substitution $\dot{x} \rightarrow \dot{x} + \alpha x$. But all this looks as an ad hoc solution. Description of non-equilibrium states refers to the fundamental physics, and there should exist some more general approach in the framework of the Hamiltonian mechanics. Problem: describe evolution of measure μ_f .

The Hamiltonian mechanics of oscillators assumes existence of two fundamental tensors. The first is antisymmetric one, it defines symplectic phase space and the Poisson bracket:

$$\omega_2(q, p) = \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k^{-1}(q, p) dq_k \wedge dp_k, \quad \{f, g\} = \sum_{k=1}^n \omega_k(q, p) \frac{\partial(f, g)}{\partial(q_k, p_k)}. \quad (26)$$

The second one is symmetrical, and in case of n oscillators it defines their Hamiltonian

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{ij} h_{ij}^k x_i^k x_j^k, \quad i, j = 1, 2. \quad (27)$$

For a single oscillator the antisymmetric and symmetrical matrices are correspondingly: $\hat{J}, \hat{J}_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ji}$, $\epsilon_{12} = 1$, and $h_{ij} = \omega \delta_{ij}$. To describe kinetics one has to take into consideration the variations $\delta x_{\parallel}$ in Eq. (9). These variations are "parallel" to ∇H , and it looks reasonable to generalize the Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\} \rightarrow \{f, g\}_{\alpha} \stackrel{def}{=} \{f, g\}_{-} + \{f, g\}_{+}, \quad (28)$$

where $\{f, g\}_{-} \equiv \{f, g\}$, and

$$\{f, g\}_{+} = -\alpha \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} h_{ij}^k \nabla_i^k f \nabla_j^k g, \quad h^{il} h_{lj} = \delta_j^i. \quad (29)$$

The second term in (28) takes into consideration variations changing the Gibbs distribution. The equations of motion are

$$\dot{f} = \{f, H\}_{-} + \{f, H\}_{+}, \quad (30)$$

and if $k = 1$, then

$$\delta x_{\parallel}^i = -\alpha h^{ij} \nabla_j H \delta t. \quad (31)$$

For the harmonic oscillator (3) we have $h^{ij} = \frac{1}{\omega} \delta^{ij}$ and the equations of motion

$$\dot{q} = \omega p - \alpha q, \quad \dot{p} = -\omega q - \alpha p$$

can be written in the form

$$Dq = \omega p, \quad Dp = -\omega q, \quad D \equiv \partial_t + \alpha. \quad (32)$$

Applying operator D to the first Eq. (32), one obtains

$$\ddot{q} + 2\alpha \dot{q} + (\omega^2 + \alpha^2)q = 0, \quad \alpha \ll \omega. \quad (33)$$

We see that ω^2 gets an additive α^2 . Thus, for harmonic oscillators the effect of friction can be taken into consideration by substitution in the equations of motion

$$\partial_t \rightarrow D = \partial_t + \alpha. \quad (34)$$

Notice that (i) now the least action principle is not valid, (ii) α is positive in (29), (33); the bracket (28) with $\alpha < 0$ describes the opposite process — development of a non-equilibrium state.

4 The cosmological constant

It is important that the rule (34) is true also for fields, because fields are ordered sets of harmonic oscillators. Indeed, as is well known, the Hamiltonian of countable set of oscillators in the 1D theory is (in proper units) [3,4]

$$H = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \omega(k) a^*(k) a(k), \quad \omega(k)^2 = m^2 + 4 \sin^2 \frac{k}{2} \approx m^2 + k^2, \quad (35)$$

and, according to (33), masses change: $m^2 \rightarrow m^2 + \alpha^2$. Notice however, that $\omega(k)$ in (35) has no direct relation to ω in (3) or (32): the latter refers to the primordial oscillators (ω manifests itself in $\hbar = 1/\beta\omega$), while $\omega(k)$ characterize collective excitations of their linear sets. In practice $\omega(k) \gg \alpha$.

Thus, after substitution (34) all the massless fields become "massive". For example, taking a scalar field ϕ , we observe

$$(\square - m^2)\phi = 0 \rightarrow [\square - 2\alpha\partial_t - (m^2 + \alpha^2)]\phi = 0 \quad (\square = -\partial_t^2 + \Delta). \quad (36)$$

In the atomic and subatomic physics the effect of α is extremely small (if t_r is of order of the life time of the Universe $T_U \sim 10^{10}$ yr, then $\alpha \sim 10^{-33}$ eV).

It may seem that this could have important consequences for gauge fields because mass terms break gauge invariance. In reality the situation is more specific.

1) One cannot use substitution (34) in Lagrangians. Indeed, in this case the Lagrangian $L = (\dot{q}^2/\omega - \omega q^2)/2$ for oscillator (3) transforms into

$$L' = L + \frac{\alpha}{\omega} \dot{q}q + \frac{\alpha^2}{2\omega} q^2, \quad (37)$$

giving equation of motion

$$\ddot{q} + (\omega^2 - \alpha^2)q = 0 \quad (38)$$

different from (33) (no friction term, "wrong" change of frequency). Evidently, this is because the Hamiltonian variation principle fails in this case (no Liouville theorem, kinetics).

2) Such a substitution breaks Lorentz invariance of the theory (the second term in Eq. (36) is not an invariant). It looks reasonable because time and the space coordinates lose their equivalence.

The absence of the Lagrangian formalism makes senseless the demand of "gauge invariance". The reasonable strategy is: in a gauge theory one excludes all the nonphysical variables [8] and then makes substitution (34) in equations of motion. One can also take the equations in an arbitrary gauge. E.g., for free electromagnetic field in the Feynman gauge one obtains an analogue of Eq. (36)

$$(\square - 2\alpha\partial_t - \alpha^2)A_\mu = 0. \quad (39)$$

The photon mass becomes important at extremely low energies $E \sim 10^{-33}$ eV.

The situation is different in gravity. The gravitational equations for the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ also contain the second time derivatives. Thus, one has

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow G_{\mu\nu} - \alpha\partial_t g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}g_{\mu\nu} + \dots \quad (40)$$

The last term here is nothing but the cosmological term in the Einstein-Hilbert equations ($\Lambda = \alpha^2/2$). Introduction of the cosmological constant does not violate general covariance. It explains the recently observed effect of remote galaxies acceleration [9]. Besides, the constant α gives the life time of the Universe $T_U \sim t_r \sim 1/\alpha$. From inequality $\alpha \ll \omega$ one can estimate from below the temperature of the heat bath. We have: $\omega = T$ (in units $\hbar = k = 1$), i.e. $\alpha \ll T$. Taking $T_U \sim 1/\alpha$, we obtain $\alpha \sim 10^{-29}$ K, so $T \gg 10^{-29}$ K.

Thus, in the present approach the cosmological term appears in the natural way. The effective "vacuum energy density", it generates, is little to do with the matter vacuum energy. Being the mass of graviton, α transforms the Newton potential into the Yukawa potential. This gives visible explanation to the effect of acceleration of distant galaxies [9].

5 Conclusions

We summarize the main points of the article.

1. Description by probability amplitudes arises in the "non-equilibrium Hamiltonian mechanics" of harmonic oscillators in a heat bath with large time of relaxation (complex canonical variables, small deviations from the equilibrium). Here everything is important:

- (i) the harmonic oscillator: fields and strings are sets of harmonic oscillators,
- (ii) complex canonical variables $\bar{z}, z$: they lead to complex probability amplitudes,
- (iii) the heat bath: the source of chaos,
- (iv) non-equilibrium distributions: description by probability amplitudes appears in the classical physics only for non-equilibrium states,
- (v) large time of relaxation ($t_r \gg \omega^{-1}$): in this case the system can be considered as a quasi-stationary, and one can take $t_r = \infty$,
- (vi) smallness of deviations from the equilibrium: arbitrary deviations can radically change the Gibbs distribution and the classical equations of motion.

Then one obtains a theory with the Planck constant $\hbar$, the Fock space and the Schroedinger equation. The harmony of order (the Schroedinger equation) and chaos (probabilities), specific for quantum mechanics, is due to the fact that there is a pair of canonical variables φ, r : one of them (φ) is pure deterministic (no influence of the heat bath, Eq. (23)), while another (r) is pure stochastic (it is characterized by the Gibbs distribution). This also elucidates the physics behind the quantum oscillator energy spectrum $E_n = \hbar\omega(n + 1/2)$: the first term $\hbar\omega n$ is due to the classical motion ($\dot{z}^n = -i\omega n z^n$), while the "vacuum energy" $\hbar\omega/2$ is universal, it comes from r due to the heat bath.

2. It looks quite satisfactory that the Hamiltonian mechanics admits natural generalization to kinetics (Eqs. (28)-(30)).

3. Quantum description emerges only in the non-stable systems. Of course, it does not invalidate the standard quantum mechanics: the latter can be *defined* as a self-consistent theory, but in the framework of classical mechanics it appears as kinetics.

4. Another positive feature of the approach — necessity of non-stability. Our Universe is not a stable system, and this agrees with the point 3. Unexpectedly, there inevitably appears the cosmological constant Λ which can be identified with $\alpha^2/2$. It gives estimation $\alpha^{-1} \sim 10^{10}$ yr.

5. All the oscillator (and field) excitations disappear with time (when $t \rightarrow \infty$). It seems that our world is even less stable than that what follows from the general relativity.

6. The Lagrangian formalism fails. As a result, the Lorentz invariance, the gauge invariance and the general covariance also fail (or should be reformulated).

7. Still, the problem of vacuum energy in QFT (Eq. (2)) is left out. Its solution depends on the model of physical space. If one models 3D space as a superstring network [10], then the superstring vacuum energy is zero.

References

- [1] Eidelman S et al. 2004 *Phys. Lett. B* **592** 191
- [2] Dolgov A D, Kawasaki M 2005 *Phys. Atom Nucl.* **68** 860
- [3] Prokhorov L V 2004 quant-ph/0406079
- [4] Prokhorov L V 2005 *Vestnik SPbGU* Ser. 4 Vyp. 4 3 (in Russian)
- [5] Prokhorov L V, Shabanov S V 1997 *Hamiltonian mechanics of gauge systems* (SPbGU University Press) (in Russian)
- [6] Sinai Ya G 1996 *Introduction to ergodic theory* (Moscow, FAZIS) P. 7 (in Russian)
- [7] Bargmann V 1961 *Commun. Pure. Appl. Math.* **14** 187
- [8] Prokhorov L V 1988 *Usp. Fiz. Nauk* **154** 299
- [9] Riess A G et al. 1998 *Astron. J.* **116** 1009; 2004 *Astrophys. J.* **607** 665
- [10] Prokhorov L V 2004 *Space as a net* (SPbGU, NIIKH) (in Russian)